Contact: stavercp.ecolint @gmail.com



## **Emerging diseases – challenges to small grower biosecurity**

Fusarium Wilt Race 1 (FWR1) disrupted export banana supply chains 50-70 years ago, but even today continues to spread among smallholders forcing a change in cultivar or crop. Fusarium Wilt Tropical Race 4 (FWTR4) has spread in export Cavendish plantations from Asia to Africa and most recently Latin America and threatens many cultivars. Agricultural biosecurity measures (Waage & Mumford 2008) have increased to protect society from the negative impacts of pest and disease spread measures to minimize the risk of introduction from outside the country, usually a public responsibility, and to reduce the risk of spread internally once the pest or disease is present, a farmlevel issue. Smallholders with limited capital, labor and access to new information may not prioritize biosecurity designed for large farms (Kukulis & Velvers 2018).

**Study objective** – document smallholder biosecurity risk and implementation of measures at the farm level and by local plant health agencies and opportunities for complementarity with measures for ecological intensification and food security in 2 banana producing zones. **Our hypothesis** - among resource-scarce banana growers, biosecurity measures which contribute to productivity and food safety requirements will be more readily put into practice





## Interviews, farm mapping FW presence/risk, drone images



7 farms in Central Selva (CS); 5 banana marketing associations on North Coast (NC)







# **Biosecurity for small growers of local and organic export banana in Peru:** Seeking synergies with food safety and ecological intensification

Charles Staver Universidad Veracruzana, Xalapa, Mexico, (Email: stavercp.ecolint@gmail.com), J.C. Rojas, INIA, Sullana, Peru, J.C. Rivas, Bancafesa, Villa Rica, Peru, R. Delgado, Oregon State University, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Corvallis, USA,



# **Results: Minimal biosecurity practices = high long term risk FWR1/TR4**

### **CENTRAL SELVA Current biosecurity status**

Theme Farmer training knowle Worker knowledge FW Training FW traders **Practices planting mate** Controlled access fields Controlled access post-Sanitation footwear too Sanitation harvest/post Nursery stock/fertilizers Control vehicles Protocol visitors, audito Protocol travel househ

# **Results: Biosecurity X EI X FS**

Priority biosecurity actions for FW banana with contribution to Ecological Intensification (EI) (Turmel et al 2018) and Food Safety (FS) (https://www.fao.org/food-safety/food-control-systems/en/

Action areas Banana disease trai Low risk healthy pla Living hedges to ma Sanitation footwea Protocols – off-site Water access, dispo



Biosecurity measure in office

Major	risks
-------	-------

	# farms	
edge FW	3/7	- Incomplete knowledge
/	0/7	FW and banana diseases
	0/7	/risk mapping;
erial	4/7	- uncertain planting
s	0/7	material;
t-harvest	0/7	- free access and
ol field	2/7	circulation on-farm;
st-harvest	2/7	- no measures for
rs	0/7	outside visitors;
	0/7	- uncertain nursery
tors, sales	0/7	stock;
nold	0/7	

	Biosec	EI	FS
aining/risk mapping	Х	Х	
lanting material	X	Х	
nanage access	Х	Х	Х
ar, tools, materials	X	Х	Х
e visitors and visits	X		Х
osal and movement	Х	Х	Х



Food safety measure with biosecurity overlap



### NORTH COAS Theme

Knowledge Foc Knowledge Foc Knowledge Foc Banana plantin Mgmt workers Banana harvest Vehicles mover Local organic fe Outside visitors Water – banan Water irrigation Animals, runof Surrounding fie

- contrasting zones: dispersed, isolated fields in Central Selva contiguous fields/common irrigation system on North Coast
- semi-autonomous farms with low immediate risk, but high medium and long term risk
- highest priority: action-oriented, participatory training in banana diseases and risk mapping
- living hedges as barriers to control and direct access
- sanitation protocols footwear, tools, people
- high potential to address biosecurity with EI and FS
- incipient efforts in biosecurity with high need to build ties with EI and FS, but integrated research and outreach across themes largely absent



#### **PNIA**

Programa Nacional de Innovación Agraria

ST Biosecurity risk (H, M and L for high, medium and low risk)							
	Risk level		vel	Major risks			
	Н	Μ	L	_			
c Farm	5			- Incomplete knowledge FW			
c Association	5			and banana diseases/ risk			
c mobile packing	5			mapping;			
ng material			5	- mobile packing station			
s in field		2	3	routine;			
st routine	2	3		- Free access and			
ement			5	_circulation on-farm;			
fertilizers			5	No measures for outside			
rs	5			- visitors;			
na post-harvest			5				
on		1	4	<ul> <li>Contiguous fields with</li> </ul>			
ff/drainage		2	3	- common			
ields FW	5			-irrigation/drainage			

## **Conclusions and perspectives**

**<u>Acknowledgements</u>**: funding from INIA/PNIA, logistical support from INIA on No



**References:** Waage, J. K., & Mumford, J. D. (2008). Agricultural biosecurity. *Philosophical* Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363(1492), 863-876.

Kukulis T., and Veivers, S. (2018). Banana best management practices. On-farm practices biosecurity self-assessment checklist. Queensland Government, Australia.

Turmel, M., Siles, P., Mpiira, S., Bustamante, O., Calberto, G., Dita, M., Alvarez, E., Zheng, S., and Staver, C. 2018. Step by step tools to identify ecological intensification alternatives for banana cropping systems. Acta Horti. 1196: 9-18.